

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/756,881

Applicant(s)

USUDA ET AL.

Examiner

LAM S NGUYEN

Art Unit

2853

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LAM S NGUYEN.

(3) KELLY G. HYNDMAN.

(2) JUDY NGUYEN.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5737152

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

- The applicant may file a supplemental amendment to clarify the claim language of claim 1.

*Judy Nguyen*

JUDY NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

*Lam Nguyen*

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.